**RTCTF Biennial Report Final Review and Minutes Approval-20241125\_143027-Meeting Recording**

November 25, 2024, 10:30PM

50m 1s

 **Lisa Fredley** started transcription

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 0:16
Hey Cortana.
Looks like there's about nine people on right now.
From the task force to few away from Quorum, does that look right?
Lisa and Leslie.
No, between director's on.
123.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:14
Good afternoon, everyone.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:17
Good afternoon.
Let's give it one more minute, Leslie, and then let's call roll and see if we're at a quorum.
I think we might be pretty close.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:05
OK.
Yeah. Excuse me. I'm counting members now. And I was at 9:00.
But then we've had a couple more people join, so we might have to just run a A do the role and see what we have.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 2:18
OK.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:20
Just let me know when you want me.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 2:23
No, let's just let the clock tick one more minute and then we can go.
OK.
Go ahead and let's call it and see if we gotta pull him on.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:42
OK.
OK, chair Taylor.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 2:49
Wasn't.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:52
Turner.
Eric wadkowski.
Luke Pappas.

 **Luke Papez** 3:08
Good afternoon. Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:12
Richard Perkins.

 **Richard Perkins** 3:15
Here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:17
Lease portal.
Rick Hansen.

 **Hansen, Erik** 3:29
Here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:32
Jeremy Newman.

 **Jeremy Newman (Guest)** 3:34
Here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:37
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy.
With Becker here.
Leslie mujica.

 **Leslie Mujica** 3:53
Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:56
Henry Shields.

 **Shields, Henry** 3:59
Here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 4:01
Dowdy.
Louis Cruz.
Jeremy drew.
Tom burns.
Senator Dallas Harris.
Senator Pete gokuchiya.
Assemblywoman Danielle Monroe Monro.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 4:41
I'm here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 4:42
E.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 4:45
And then can you call Eric Wolkowski?
And I think he is on.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 4:52
Yes, yes, I'm here.
Oh, it just didn't have my mark on earlier. Thank you.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 4:58
Thank you.
OK, it looks like we have 10.
We need 11.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:09
Who's missing from?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 5:09
Let me reach out to see where Tom let me see where Tom's Burn is at Jennifer.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:13
OK.
Great. Thanks director.
Lisa and Leslie, who from your yeses is not on yet.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:22
And I'm sorry, I did forget to. These are non voting members, but Haley Williamson.
Wayne Mcclinton present.
Miss Figueroa.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:47
Actually, Leslie, I do believe Director Mcclinton is a voting member.
I believe the only two non voting members are chairwoman Williamson and Mr. Figueroa.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:58
Apologize for that.
So we do have a.
We have an 11 now.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:03
OK, fantastic.
Just quickly, though, who? Who else?
RSVP D That isn't on yet.
If you wanna not to call people out, but if we just just, you know, ping them real quick and see if they're coming on.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:23
Pull that up real quick.

 **Lisa Fredley** 6:25
I show is is Elise Porto on.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:25
My roll call.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:29
She's not.

 **Lisa Fredley** 6:30
OK.
So she was a yes.
Eric Hanson. I don't know if you called him.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:36
He's there. Yep.

 **Lisa Fredley** 6:39
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy.
Was spit backer.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:56
Error means there was there.
Ernest is there? Luke. Richard.

 **Lisa Fredley** 7:03
Cruz. Was he on?

 **Luis Cruz Negron** 7:07
Yes, I am. Oh, great.

 **Lisa Fredley** 7:08
OK.
So Tom burns.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 7:15
Did you call Henry Shields?
I think he's on as well.

 **Lisa Fredley** 7:20
Yes, I think he's on.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 7:23
Thank you, Mr. Shields.
I just sent a text to Elise so.

 **Alise Porto** 7:30
I'm here now, apologies.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 7:31
Fantastic. Thanks Elise.
OK.
Alright, great.
So I think we have.
We all have a quorum now, so we can go ahead and get started.
Let us start with public comments.
Is there anybody from the public wishing to make a comment to the task force?
OK, seeing none.
Opening remarks from me. Just wanna say thanks to everybody for all the time they spent last last week on this meeting for all the good suggestions during the meeting and for the engagement that we got from folks.
After the meeting to get this third version of the report put together and also just really wanna say thanks to Director Mcclinton's Awesome Team, Lisa and Leslie for all the work they did.
Getting comments and suggested changes integrated into this version 3 for making sure we would have a quorum today and for just all of their fantastic coordination.
So with that, I just will move on to approval of the October 28 minutes.
Those were all emailed to everybody on November 18th.
Is there anybody who has any comments, questions or concerns about the content of those minutes?

 **Leslie Mujica** 9:17
Motion to approve Leslie Muhika for the record.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 9:20
Thank you, miss Mahika.

 **Liz Becker (she/her)** 9:23
2nd from Liz Becker.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 9:25
Thank you, miss Becker.
Any discussion?
All in favor?

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 9:33
I guess I should have just said. Anyone opposed?

 **Jeremy Newman (Guest)** 9:34
Hi. Hi.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 9:42
OK, great. So those are approved.
Thank you.
All right, let's move over to the main piece of the agenda, which is the discussion of this of the report.
Everybody got a copy of version 2 on November 18th with any suggested changes requested by no later than the 20th close of business.
And then changes were integrated into a version three and redistributed on Friday.
Early afternoon, November 22nd, there was one late request because of some tech issues, Mr. Newman asked.
That a bullet point be added in and I'll we'll highlight where that is.
So with that background, let's just kind of walk through this again.
I think it should go fairly quickly, so I've had them put the report up on the the screen and So what we can do is we'll just go through it and.
See where they were suggested changes.
So I think the 1st, the 1st change from our meeting.
Last Monday was Miss Becker suggested adding in.
Well, I, well, we'll just go walk through it.
So she suggested adding in an explanation as to why we did not have two meetings in 2023. That is in footnote. One questions, comments, concerns about that.
OK.
Yeah, that's great. If you wanna scroll through that version, OK.
Then we had some changes in the content of the summaries from Mr. Wakowski.
Eric, thank you so much for all the clean up.
The first one is under.
Meeting of the regional task meeting of the Task Force from October 28. The first paragraph. Oh, I'm sorry.
Step back.
So we'll see when you go through this that we've added in the links to the actual presentation.
So that's what a lot of the the changes were as well. If you'll remember, the first draft had link to be added, so Lisa and Leslie went in and added those.
So if you keep scrolling down here.
I think.
Let's see where Eric's first changes.
His first changes on page 5.
And he simply capitalized grid enhancing technologies to get to get's Lisa.
Actually, let's make a change on that to make it a lower case S instead of a capital S.
OK.
So I'll stop right here. Up to this point. Anybody have any questions, concerns, comments, changes about the added links to presentations or this first change from Eric?
Right.
Right. If you wanna keep scrolling down, Lisa.
Next change.
I'm sorry. Excuse him. The next change is down on Page Six. Second to last paragraph.
On all of these 704 B, we had lower case B.
Eric changed it to Capital B.
So as a global comment, anybody have any concerns about that corrective change to 704 B designation?
K.
We'll keep moving on here.
On to page 7.
Anything else from Eric that I'm missing looking at?
Changes I thought.
Eric had a couple of other changes in here.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 13:57
I think yeah, this is Eric. I think that's it.
And then we just had the and you've added the link to the statute, which I think is good.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 14:05
Yeah. And I think we added per your suggestion, that's also an appendix addition, which was great. OK.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 14:10
Yeah, yeah, that's good.
And I think there there might have been one other 704 B in there on page 9, but other than that it's good.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 14:17
OK.
Yeah, but I mean, you know, you corrected it. So that's good.
OK.
Let's talk about recommendations real quickly.
No, we didn't have public com any public comment, but I believe is David Ruben on from Nevada energy.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 14:39
Yeah, I'm here. Jennifer.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 14:41
So great. OK.
So Nicole, is it appropriate to have Mr. Rubin weigh in at this point, even though he's not technically on the task force but his but Nevada energy does have a statutory seat on the task force.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 14:58
Yes, sure. At your discretion, you can.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 14:58
And.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 15:01
You can hear from people you wish also.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 15:04
OK.
Fantastic. Then I wish to hear from Mr. Rubin.
Let's just kinda walk through the recommendations and.
Mr. Ruben, how about this?
I think it's appropriate then if if since the AG has said we can hear from folks, we wanna hear from as we go through these bullet points. If you have specific concerns, changes whatever, please let us know.
Otherwise, if the utility doesn't have any concern, just flag that for us as well would be great.
So we didn't have any suggested changes to the first bullet point from last week.
So I'm gonna take that as approval for that bullet point.
Unless Mr. Rubin tells me otherwise.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 15:53
No, I I think Jeffrey, anything that's not changed that you know we spoke to in the in our comments last week.
So I think you're you're good to go.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 16:01
OK, perfect.
Thank you, Sir.
Alright, so then the second bullet point, really the only change to this one was.
Adding in the sagebrush Sagebrush Ecosystem Council, along with the language about relevant state and local agencies.
So that was the main change in that second bullet point. So I'll open it up for anyone to.
Discuss concerns.
Changes or other comments about that second bullet point.
OK. So sounds like that second bullet point is good to go.
3rd Bullet Point Eric, I think this was actually one of your other changes is that you spelled out Crepsy which is great.
In that second sub bullet and that was.
Nope. And the only other change on that third bullet point is this last sub bullet that I think we discussed at the meeting on the 18th about any other initiatives that might be developed and related to transmission in markets. Just in case something else crops up when I.
Make sure.
That everybody knows our recommendation is to support those continued efforts to have the PUC and GOE at the table for those.
Questions, concerns, comments about that third bullet point.
OK, the 4th bullet point was this language about the about Nevada legislators.
Sorry, did somebody have something?
OK, this 4th bullet point was about.
Having our legislators make sure that the state's interests are represented in relevant subcommittees at NCSL and CSG, and in any other legislative initiatives that was discussed at at the at the at the meet, sorry.
Discussed during the meeting on the 18th and I didn't receive any changes, but Assemblywoman Monro Moreno, given that you're on the phone, would just love to have you make sure that that language sounds correct for those two bodies that I didn't, you know, we didn't misstate how the.
Language should be used to identify those those two subcommittees and the two legislative bodies.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 18:34
That's fine. I read through it and the language is fine. Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 18:36
Yes.
Thank you so much.
OK. So on the next bullet point related to goed, this language was also developed during our meeting on the 18th.
Or from discussions from the meeting on the 18th.
And did not receive any comments, especially from goed that the language was not correct or needed any type of tweaking.
So I'll just see if anybody. Oh, let's see.
I'd actually, I'd actually, sorry.
We did have one change in here.
Related to enhancing data availability.
Related to energy needs that would be provided to the PUC N but without violating privacy concerns of entities considering engaging in Nevada's regional development to allow better understanding of the business and industries that could locate in the state that language about business and industries that could Loc.
In the state is specific as cited from Senate Bill 448, section 33, sub one.
Sub sub E so that language would go in as part of that overall bullet about goed.
So any changes, concerns, questions about that one?
And that went out with.
I think that went out with version three so.
I've not heard back from Goed that that's a concern.
Right.
Anybody else have any questions or concerns about the goed bullet points?
OK.
So this next bullet point, which is a specific policy recommendation, Nevada should enact slash support policies that resulting greater use of gets in advanced conductors as a means of increasing capacity on the existing transmission system.
The only change suggested change I heard on that was that enact slash support be deleted and replaced with. Consider.
We heard expressly from.
Julia Selker about how for quarter 1920 is directing regional planning around transmission. To look at the use of gets and. And so I I think that leaving the language as enact slash support is appropriate.
Because it's it's something that is set out in the FERC order and would ensure that Nevada is moving forward with appropriate policies for innovation.
On on our transmission systems, and especially as we're looking at you know.
The length of time to develop our transmission infrastructure.
The cost to develop our transmission infrastructure, I think that having an emphatic sort of recommendation about gets policies is beneficial for the state and beneficial for us to be able to increase capacity while we're still looking at build out.
So that's just my thoughts on why we leave enact slash support.
But I will open it up to anybody else.

 **Leslie Mujica** 22:04
Madam Chair, this is Leslie Mihika for the record.
I have some comments on a few things and I I didn't know if I should jump in while you're reading them or wait till the end and make a collective comment.
But since I already took the time, now I'm in full support of the statement you just made regarding gets.
I think we need to start putting some action behind these items instead of just considering them.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 22:29
Thank you, miss Mojica. Anyone else?

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 22:35
Yeah, Madam Chair, this is Eric with Koski.
I'm just, I guess my question is, is where is, where does the analysis happen?
I mean, is this something about energy?
I mean is when you say enact, does that mean the legislature's gonna pass something and they're gonna implement it without any cost benefit analysis?
I'm just trying to understand the purpose of the enact or or were there some analysis of, you know, before money spent?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 23:05
So this is the recommendation.
And I think you know, first we would need a bill sponsor to bring forward some policy. I I imagine that envy energy would want to have, you know, some type of lead in any anything that would.
Impact their transmission lines.
So I I would think that that would have to come out through discussions on a on a bill. And again this is just a.
A set of recommendations.
So that's, that's where I would see it right now.
But it's a Fairpoint Mr. Figueroa.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 23:47
Yeah, to to follow up on that, that comment.
Even though I'm not a voting member for any of these.
Recommendations. You know, I think I I personally would be more comfortable with the word support and louvin that because there's a lot of issues surrounding.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 24:04
OK.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 24:10
Advanced conductors and what our current infrastructure is. And I think there's there needs to be some.
Some analysis done.
And an evaluation of pros and cons.
Before we go all out.
So I don't know how to temper this, but I I'm agreeing with Eric. Thank you, Jennifer.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 24:31
I don't think you and even though you are not a voting member, you are a critical piece of the discussion.
Anybody else?
OK.
I guess my concern is again, I see what you're saying, Eric and Ernest.
We don't have a bill in front of us.
But again.
I think the thought was that because it is a directive and a piece of FERC order 1920 that it's worth pushing the verb a little bit stronger than just support.
But that's why I put enact slash support so.
I don't. Eric, you had another comment I see you.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 25:35
Yeah, I mean, I could go with support, but in act, I'm just I'm a little uncomfortable with.
With I mean if there was a legislation passed that it says and they enact without any of the Commission reviewing or any analysis, that's one uncomfortable, I think we could support.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 25:53
OK.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 25:54
But but in that it's a little worrisome.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 25:58
OK. Well I feel like support sits somewhere between consider and enact.
So does anybody have any concerns about stating support deleting an act but leaving support?
Anybody have any other concerns about that?
OK.
So, Lisa, why don't you go ahead and strike an act and we'll leave support?
And then we will leave it to advocates to bring some great language forward.
To folks like the assemblywoman for a broader discussion.
OK.
Next up is.
Bullet point about statewide transmission plan building on the 2012 Transmission Initiative routing study. I had a comment in here.
Lisa, you'll you guys will add that 2012 NIAC study to the resource tab, correct? If you haven't already.
Which you probably have.

 **Lisa Fredley** 27:01
Yes, I'm pretty positive that I added it at the very end. OK, fantastic.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 27:08
All right.
And then yes.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 27:09
Madam, Madam. Madam. Madam Chair, this is Eric. On that one and I supported somebody just might want to give a quick summary. What that is for those that may not be familiar with it.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 27:23
OK. Hold please.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 27:24
I mean higher highview highview just.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 27:28
I mean, it was basically a transmission study from 2012 that looked at transmission corridors.
A second, let me just pull it up here real quick.
It was.
It was called an initiative to export Nevada's renewable energy and it was done in collaborative effort by a group of consultants.
And just get to the executive summary 'cause, it's been a little, it's been a hot minute since I looked at it, but it it covered essentially an analysis of export opportunities, some preferred projects N you know in different regions of the state.
They talked about contingent project scenarios, project costs and ratings market export opportunity.
And then you know.
A group of electric grid evaluation analysis.
And some routing approaches.
Designing concepts and then essentially.
Talked about some of the conclusions would be things like.
Outreach and coordination with regional and proposed Interstate transmission projects.
Discussion of potential benefits to Nevada and the business case.
So you know, this would lead into something that that we talked about in a further bullet point about the economic opportunities around.
Transmission and being part of a regional transmission organization.
Federal and regional transmission monitoring discussions with California utilities.
Project financing and then environmental application preparation.
So, you know, given that this is 12 years old and the the vast changes that have happened since then from a policy perspective, from a market perspective, this is something that.
Has been kind of.
Discussed as an opportunity and I I think that hopefully that's a broad enough overview because.
Like I said, it's been a minute since I've read it, but it was essentially just an analysis of what we have and what we need and what the future recommendations are, including like right of way, acquisition, permitting, construction, that kind of thing.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 30:08
Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 30:08
Is that high enough? You're welcome.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 30:09
Yes, thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 30:09
Thank you.
Thanks for the flag on that.
Anybody else?
OK so.
The.
Change that I requested on this.
Was.
The language is written from the first version that we discussed, but then this was in the second version.
Nobody made comments on it, but just again to put it at the top of this discussion, we added in.
I I requested adding in.
Where is it building on the 2012 transmission initiative routing study and consider partnerships with regional Isos, RT OS or reliability planning organization.
To share the costs of the updated studies development, I think you heard from you know the folks at West Tech folks at Chaiso that there is work being done and that Nevada already has a seat at the table.
But I think in partnership with some of those entities, we could actually come up with a new transmission initiative routing study.
So I I would request that that language be added in terms of the partnership possibilities.
Comments. Questions. Concerns.
All right, great.
Yeah. So Lisa, that's just we have that in there in the notes twice. OK.
So then the next bullet point was.
In the interim, one of the things would be to take a look at other states transmission citing authorities.
We talked about this in the meeting on the 18th.
We had no requested changes to that it during the meeting or in version two or three. So absent anybody wanting to weigh in on that, we'll move forward.
So I'll give everyone a second.
OK.
All right, this next bullet point is.
Nevada, Nevada, Nevada Energy will to the extent possible, provide quarterly updates regarding greenlink.
This was something that we discussed during the meeting on the 18th and was requested by certain task force members. We had a discussion with Tony Sanchez on the phone.
There are limitations to what envy energy can provide, and I think that the task force understands that.
But just being that, there were some concerns about subscription availability on the lines.
NV Energy was willing to provide a quarterly overview to the extent that they're able to now. There was a request from version 2 from Carolyn Turner to strike this, she said.
It's not a recommendation.
I think that given that the task force had a specific interest in.
Having this information provided.
That it should stay in, but I will wait to hear what everybody else has to say, including Mr. Ruben.

 **Leslie Mujica** 33:28
Madam Chair, this is Leslie Munika. I I am in support for it staying the way it is, but we we need to make need to make sure that the words to the extent that they are able are left in.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 33:41
Yeah, absolutely.
It's in there and it wouldn't change apps in somebody saying that it needed to change, but I you know, from what I understood from Mr. Sanchez that they have limitations.
And so we want to respect that.
Mr. rakowski.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 34:01
Well, I thank you.
I'm kind of an information nerd, so I like it.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 34:06
OK, fantastic.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 34:08
Yeah.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 34:10
OK.
Any other comments on that one?
Move forward, then this next one.
Nevada Task Force should explore alternative methods and opportunities for financing transmission for future projects. We didn't have any comments on this.
During the meeting last week, no comments from to be added to version two or requested in version 3.
So apps and anybody having comments now I'll just pause for a second.
Right. So the next one is the Nevada task force should in the interim explore the economic benefits of joining a western market including.
There were quite a few changes in this one so.
Lisa, do you have? Oh, great. OK, here it is.
All right.
Some of this language is directly from the Statute, so this first subbullet potential areas where growth and demand for electricity or renewable energy generation would be accommodated by additional transmission or RTO opportunities. That is language directly from the statute businesses and industries that could locate in the state.
As a result of Nevada's position in an RTO that is also directly from the statute.
This third subbullet.
Is about.
Basically, small scale generators and demand response aggregators being put into, you know, some of the costs and benefits of those assets being part of a market. And if you look at the notes, you'll see my final suggested updates for those two concepts.
Are in the comment where it says, per Jennifer Taylor, November 20th, 2024 final suggested updates to this section so it would split out.
Small scale customer owned resources, including aggregators, into a centralized wholesale market and then secondarily potential for demand response aggregators to bid into a centralized wholesale market.
This is just a recommendation about what we want to talk about.
In the interim, it's not saying anything has to happen.
It's not saying that we are approving any of these types of resources going into a potential market.
All we're doing is looking at it, which is what we're tasked to do so.
In one of the the 2nd iteration there was.
A request.
To delete the language about demand response aggregators.
Again, demand response aggregation.
The really important piece of wholesale markets, PJM, has got some capacity shortfalls that they're looking at for upcoming seasons. So they just did an incredible price raise on the value of demand response for the upcoming auction. The Alberta wholesale market utilizes demand response on a really regular basis.
Stabilize its grid.
And so I think it's an important piece to look at.
It's also important to know that these are things that can already be done and are already being investigated, especially on the small scale.
Sorry, the small scale customer owned resource piece is being investigated by Chaiso.
So all all these two recommendations would do is just continue to have information gathered for our analysis of what what is the best options for a market for Nevada.
So with that and then I'll just say this and we can talk about it in a second.
This is also where that third.
That last add on from Jeremy Newman came in.
He requested that we add as another sub bullet this note down here from Lisa that says the central role the utility plays in planning for an integrating distributed energy resources for system needs. And I think that's an important piece to add in as well.
So I'm glad that he sent that over and I'm sorry he was having tech issues and couldn't get it over on Wednesday.
But I think that that is worth adding in as well. Even though it was past our discussion deadline.
So with that, I will open up a discussion on this. This issue with small scale customer owned resources and demand response and then Jeremy Newman's requested comment.
K seeing none. Then I shall take.
I shall take no discussion as being an approval for the two bullet points that I requested be added in on November 20th, as well as the bullet, the sub bullet that Jeremy sent to us on Friday. And so we will add those in to.
The bullet point about economic benefits.
And I'm sorry Kayla had some.
A great concept about firm power.
She wasn't able to get any language on this, but maybe that's something that we can talk to her a little bit more about maybe doing a presentation in the interim so that we can get a sense of those firm power requirements, OK.
Anything else on this bullet point?
Oh, wait a minute.
Oh sorry, I do have one more thing.
The other thing that I asked be added in after our meeting and went in as part of version two was this language about revenue, including but not limited to, property tax revenue for our counties.
And we did not get any comments on that.
So does anyone have any thoughts, concerns, edits on the added language about our about revenue for our county's?
OK.
Great, alright.
And then finally, we had this language that was.
Sort of looked at the 2022 report and identified.
Issues and ideas from that, that should just be ongoing.
You know, for example, this desired governance principles for market offerings.
You know, we we know that that's a a key point of the discussion regardless of.
Whether it's.
You know, I mean it.
It's an ongoing discussion that we need to keep having.
So that's what those sub bullets were under the task force should continue to build on relevant and ongoing items from 2022.
So, questions, concerns, issues with any of those.
Right. OK.
So then we have.
The suggestion from Eric Wakowski about putting in.
The language for the bill and the link for the bill, which I thought was good.
Any questions, concerns, thoughts on that?
OK. And then finally we have Appendix B, which is essentially another resource appendix for items that had been referenced during presentations.
Anybody sees anything that's missing? Let us know or.
Yes, Ernest.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 42:11
Going back to Appendix A, there's just a little typo under regional transmission Coordination Task force.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 42:17
Oh, oh. Task force, OK.
Yeah. Thank you so much.
Lisa, do you see that?
And we will.
We will do one last.
You know.
Combing through this for any other typos, punctuation, that kind of thing before it gets submitted.
Anything else on Appendix B?

 **Lisa Fredley** 43:00
And I did add the neac.
Review report.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 43:04
Oh, fantastic.
OK. OK. That's great.
Yeah. And then if you can add that also on the, you know the sidebar tab on the page for the on the GOE website, there's the task force page and then yeah, that'd be great. Thanks.
Perfect. OK.
All right, that's it.
Any other questions, comments, concerns?
On the report, generally Ernest.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 43:33
Madam Chair, Madam Chair, this is Eric.
I just wanna acknowledge your work on this.
We really appreciate you and your team pulling this together and I know it's not easy, but really appreciate you pulling it together and your patience.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 43:39
Replay.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 43:46
Absolutely thanks to everybody else for their patience and for their time. And thank you for doing two of these meetings.
I I think it was well worth it to have a robust discussion last time and to be able to, you know, bring an iteration with some additional discussion forward.
So thank you very much.
I appreciate that. And I know Leslie and Lisa do as well.
Mr. Figueroa.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 44:09
Yeah, Eric took my phone.
Echo Eric's comments and I appreciate.
You bringing in the excellent speakers, including the pathways initiative summaries and like to thank the staff that worked on the excellent summaries regarding the initiatives. I had no edits there.
So thanks again to everybody.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 44:28
Oh great.
Yeah. Thank you. And look forward to continuing to have updates from pathways and I I really appreciate your discussion about the Consumer Advocate piece and having, you know, making sure that that.
Your colleagues, you and your colleagues voices are are included in that pathways work.
So that's fantastic.
Thank you.
Thank you again.
Anybody else?
Hey I might.
We might be able to get 15 minutes back, so just wanna check in with Nicole.
Is it appropriate then to make a motion to approve the report?
As discussed today, since there are a couple of edits that we need to pull in from the discussion is that the appropriate motion?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 45:21
Yeah. So I thank you, chair.
What I recommend is a motion to improve, to approve rather the current version.
Of the draft, including all the changes, changes and approvals that were made today.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 45:43
OK.

 **Jeremy Newman (Guest)** 45:44
Go to another Jeremy Newman for Jeremy Newman.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 45:45
Yes.

 **Jeremy Newman (Guest)** 45:46
For the record, I make a motion to approve the draft with all edits and changes as presented today.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 45:48
Yes.
Thank you, Mr. Newman.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 45:58
This area outside? Yep.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 45:58
I 2nd.
That was Dwayne Clinton, our second.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 46:08
All in favor?

 **Jeremy Newman (Guest)** 46:11
Hi.

 **Luke Papez** 46:12
Hi.

 **Hansen, Erik** 46:13
Hi.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 46:13
Hi.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 46:15
Hi. OK.
Any opposed?
Great. Great.
So like I said, we will get this cleaned up.
And submitted over to the governor, and LCB will send a copy. The final copy to everybody.
And again, just so appreciative of you guys carving out a second time for this meeting so that we can get through this.
Thank you, Miss Ting, for all your input and guidance.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 46:48
Watch me for you. Go, Jennifer.
So we're going to clean it.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 46:50
Yes, Sir.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 46:51
We're going to clean this up.
Send it back out to the body as a whole just for a, you know, everybody can review it for you.
Send to the governor to make you know, just be seen to make any grammatical errors.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 47:04
I write Miss Ting.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:04
Correct.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 47:07
No, let's let's not send it.
We're not supposed to deliberate unless we're in here.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:11
OK.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 47:12
Yeah. So I I'd recommend just.
Unless there's those small edits that were discussed today to to send to send this version out.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:21
OK.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 47:22
Yeah, no. And and director.
My only thing about sending it out to the task force was we're done.
We've approved it with the exception of those small changes that were discussed today.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:29
Correct.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 47:34
And and it would just mostly be for everybody's information that they would have the final version, not that it was going back out for further discussion.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:41
All right.
Thank you for that clarification. I appreciate it.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 47:43
Sure. But we just want to make sure that we do a final comb through and clean up and make sure everything there's no more like typos.
I don't think there are, but we'll we'll catch them if there are, and that's OK, right?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 47:54
Most definitely.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 47:55
Miss Tang, if we make a small change that's related to grammar or typo or punctuation, not an issue with that.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 48:02
Yep, not an issue.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 48:03
OK.
Fantastic. Thank you.
OK.
So again, just wanna thank everybody since we are in Thanksgiving week, I wanted to say how thankful I am again for the team at GOE and all their assistance and for all of the collaboration with the director and with all of you all.
And and for Miss Ting and all of her guidance. And so that is that is it on that we are a wrap on our biennial report.
OK. Finally on the agenda, we have public comments and discussion.
Anybody in the public wishing to make any comments or have any discussion about the meeting today?
OK.
Thanks to everybody who joined from the public and thank you for your interest in engagement.
Eric Wakowski, did you have another final comment?

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 49:00
No, just thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 49:02
OK.
No, I just saw you.
I saw you were blinking.
OK.
So with that, we are onto adjournment.
Do I have a motion to adjourn?

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 49:13
Move to adjourn.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 49:13
Sure.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 49:17
We'll have the motion from the assembly woman and then the second from Mr. Wakowski. All in favor?

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 49:17
The second thing.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 49:23
Hi. Hi. Hi.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 49:24
Bye bye.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 49:26
OK, right.
Any opposed?
Nope. Alright.
Thank you again to everybody.
We'll see you at some point next year.
Have an amazing Thanksgiving weekend.
Have a wonderful holiday season.
And look forward to continuing the conversation in 2025.
And we are adjourned.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 49:51
Thanks, Jennifer.
Have a good have a good everyone. Thank you. Bye everyone.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 49:53
Thanks director.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 49:55
Thanks. Hi everyone. Thanks.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 49:58
Bye.
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